March and April although demand notices were issued in the month of February only?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT.—

A.—35. (*a*) Attention is invited to Appendix A to Budget Estimates for 1932-33.

(*b*) Recovery is made according to rules.

(*c*) Attention is invited to Chapter XI of the Land Revenue Code wherein the procedure to be adopted to recover revenue arrears is laid down.

(*d*) Such sales are permissible under the rules where circumstances call for them.

(*e*) No.

MR. M. ABDUL AZIZ (Chikmagalur Municipality).—

Q.—36. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) What is the pay fixed by Government to Panchayet Inspectors? Was this pay given to all the incumbents who worked as Panchayet Inspectors?

(*b*) Is it a fact that some Panchayet Inspectors, who were Sanitary Inspectors formerly, were given their grade pay as Sanitary Inspectors and were also given their yearly increments in Hassan District?

(*c*) Is it a fact that the Revenue Commissioner has sanctioned the increment of some Panchayet Organisers who were Sanitary Inspectors formerly?

(*d*) Is it a fact that the increments of Panchayet Inspectors of the Kadur District have not been sanctioned though represented several times by these officials?

(*e*) Is it a fact that the Revenue Commissioner has recently ordered that the increment cannot be recommended for sanction to Government?

(*f*) If so, how the Panchayet Inspectors of other districts, who were also Sanitary Inspectors, got their increments sanctioned?

GENERAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMEMT.—

A.—36. (*a*) Rs. 60 per mensem in the case of taluks and Rs. 40 per mensem the case of sub-taluks. The places have since been abolished.

(*b*) Yes.

(*c*) Yes.

(*d*) Yes.

(*e*) Yes.

(*f*) In the case of Sanitary Inspectors who were posted as Village Panchayet Inspectors and subsequently taken as Sanitary Inspectors, the District Boards concerned recommended the grant of increments and the same was approved by Government.

Mr. K. T. SATYANARAYANA SETTY (Kadur Taluk).—

Q.—37. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) Are they aware that certain Congress prisoners lodged in the Bangalore Central Jail were flogged for alleged breach of jail discipline on the 7th December 1931 and on the 19th February 1932?

(*b*) If so, will the Government be pleased to state that exact reasons for which they were flogged?

(*c*) Did the jail authorities adopt less severe modes of enforcing discipline before resorting to flogging?

(*d*) Is it a fact that the Congress prisoners were allotted such hard labour as ragi grinding to which they were not accustomed?

(*e*) (i) Have the Government issued any instructions in regard to the nature of work to be given to political prisoners condemned to hard labour; (ii) if not, will they be pleased to issue instructions at least now so that these prisoners be given work congenial to them?

(*f*) Have the Government satisfied themselves that flogging was resorted to in strict accordance with the provisions of the Jail Manual?

(*g*) Was the Jail Medical Officer present at the time of flogging? Had he previously certified regarding the fitness of prisoners to bear flogging?

(*h*) (1) Is it a fact that one Mr. Subbakrishna, one of the prisoners, was suffering from fever at the time of flogging and (2) that no Medical Officer examined him before flogging?

(*i*) Is it a fact that, as a result of severe flogging, he developed ulcers on the buttock for which he was detained in the Jail Hospital?

(*j*) How long was he thus detained in the Hospital?

(*k*) How many days after flogging was he attended to by the Jail Medical Officer?

(*l*) (1) Have the Government conducted an enquiry or called for full information in the whole matter; (2) if so, will they be pleased to place the information on the table?